## Interview Summary

Application No.	Applicant(s)
10/815,991	RUND, RICHARD
Examiner	Art Unit
Gail Verbitsky	2859

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO	personnel):
(1) Gail Verbitsky.	(3) F.O.B. Instrument, Inc. representative.
(2) Mr. Samuels.	(4)
Date of Interview: 11 February 2005.	
Type: a)☐ Telephonic b)☐ Video Conference c)☒ Personal [copy given to: 1)☐ applicant	2) applicant's representative]
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e) No.
Claim(s) discussed: <u>1</u> .	
Identification of prior art discussed: Burgert, Yoshimoto, Cueman.	
Agreement with respect to the claims f)⊠ was reached. g)☐ was not reached. h)☐ N/A.	
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: <u>applicant will amend claims of the U.S. application 10815991 to overcome the rejection of corresponding claims of PCT/US04/10178 to distinguish between the "cap" used by the Examiner in her rejection on the merits, and the "coating" of the instant application.</u>	
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)	

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See

Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

6. Verlistry Examiner's signature, if required